



## The Leapfrog Group's July Market Insights Report

On July 24 Leapfrog published results from the new [ASC Public Reporting Program](#), which reports safety and quality information for over 3,900 ambulatory surgery centers (ASCs) nationwide. The launch of this new program makes critical patient safety and quality information from the CMS ASC Quality Reporting Program accessible, searchable, and comparable to consumers and others for the first time ever. In addition, hundreds of ASCs voluntarily participated by uploading and verifying accreditation information, submitting the Leapfrog ASC Survey 2.0, or both.

This month we are highlighting some of the CMS ASC Quality Reporting Program results for four key patient safety measures: CMS - 1 Patient Burn, CMS - 2 Patient Fall, CMS - 3 Wrong Site/Side/Patient/Procedure/Implant, and CMS - 4 All-Cause Hospital Transfer/Admission.

## State and Geographic Trends

### Top-performing states (based on performance across all four CMS patient safety measures)

| State          | Number of Facilities |
|----------------|----------------------|
| Wyoming        | 17                   |
| Wisconsin      | 60                   |
| South Carolina | 59                   |
| Nebraska       | 36                   |
| Kansas         | 51                   |
| Montana        | 20                   |
| Georgia        | 204                  |

### Lowest-performing states (based on performance across all four CMS patient safety measures)

| State      | Number of Facilities |
|------------|----------------------|
| Utah       | 31                   |
| New Mexico | 16                   |
| Florida    | 360                  |
| Delaware   | 20                   |
| Nevada     | 45                   |
| New York   | 119                  |

### States with the most ASCs reporting on CMS Patient Safety Measures

At least one CMS patient safety measure (ASC-1 through ASC-4) reported:


| State        | Number of Facilities |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Florida      | 360                  |
| California   | 356                  |
| Texas        | 315                  |
| Georgia      | 203                  |
| Maryland     | 164                  |
| Pennsylvania | 163                  |
| Arizona      | 156                  |
| Ohio         | 139                  |
| New Jersey   | 135                  |
| New York     | 119                  |

All four CMS patient safety measures (ASC-1–ASC-4) reported:

| State        | Facilities |
|--------------|------------|
| California   | 264        |
| Florida      | 236        |
| Texas        | 219        |
| Georgia      | 146        |
| Arizona      | 122        |
| Maryland     | 111        |
| Pennsylvania | 108        |
| Ohio         | 92         |
| Indiana      | 82         |
| New Jersey   | 82         |

### Distribution of Performance on ASC-1-ASC-4

ASC-1 (Burns) and ASC-3 (Wrong Site) are flat at zero for the vast majority of facilities, with a sharp rise only in the last few percentiles. ASC-4 (Hospital Admission) rises the most gradually, starting around the 20th percentile. ASC-2 (Falls) has by far the highest typical rates and the earliest rise, consistent with falls being a more common event than burns, wrong-site incidents, or hospital admissions.



[Explore Full Results](#)

To view the complete 2026 Leapfrog Hospital Survey results, visit Leapfrog's ratings website:

[Leapfrog Ratings Website](#)

[Contact Leapfrog](#) for information about licensing this data, which will be available starting in July.

## New Tools to Navigate Leapfrog Data

We've launched new features to make exploring Leapfrog data even easier.

The [Measure Search](#) function is a comprehensive tool that allows users to search measures across the Leapfrog Hospital Safety Grade, the Hospital Survey, and the ASC Survey. Filter by facility type, patient type, dataset, or search for specific measures directly.

Our [Use Cases](#) illustrate how healthcare organizations, researchers, employers, and payors are applying Leapfrog data in the real world—from benchmarking safety performance to informing purchasing decisions and driving quality improvement.

Each example shows how data-driven insights can translate into better care, smarter strategies, and meaningful results.

Visit the Hopper to explore these features and discover more ways to apply Leapfrog data:

[The Hopper](#)

## New features on the Hopper!

**The HOPPER**  
Where Leapfrog Data Comes Alive

**THE LEAPFROG GROUP**  
Giant Leaps for Patient Safety

**Measure Search**

This comprehensive tool allows users to search measures across the Leapfrog Hospital Safety Grade, Hospital Survey and ASC Survey. Filter by facility type, patient type, or dataset, or search for specific measures.

**Use Cases**

Use cases illustrate how health care organizations, researchers, employers and payors are applying Leapfrog data—from benchmarking safety performance to informing purchasing decisions and driving quality improvement.

The Leapfrog Group, 1775 K Street NW, Suite 400, Washington, District of Columbia 20006, United States, 2022926713

[Unsubscribe](#) [Manage preferences](#)